

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/791,614	03/03/2004	Burr V. Deitz		2667		
7590 07/13/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER		
BURR V. DEITZ 444 Whitehall Rd.			BEAUCHAINE, MARK J			
ALBANY, NY 12208			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3653			

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/791,6		DEITZ, BURR V.				
		Examine		Art Unit				
			Beauchaine	3653				
	The MAILING DATE of this communica			-	ldress			
Period fo	r Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T. If CFR 1.136(a). In no excation. Dry period will apply and v., by statute, cause the apply statute.	HIS COMMUNICATION vent, however, may a reply be timwill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	l. nely filed the mailing date of this α D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>17 March 2006</u>).					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 又	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
· · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗀 :	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 March 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
۵٫۱	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	c(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO		5) Notice of Informal Page 5)-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/3/06 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/791,614 Page 2

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

The listing of claims of amendment dated 17 March 2006 is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.121(c) which requires each amendment to include a complete listing of all the claims ever presented. The Applicant has failed to include claim 6 in the amendment and has further failed to refer to said claim as "canceled". Since claim 7, prior to the instant amendment, was redundant to claim 6 the Examiner considers claim 6 to be canceled in the absence of any indication otherwise.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Said claim recites the limitation "predetermined denomination" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/791,614

Art Unit: 3653

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-11 and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Patent Number 2,507,626 by Ekstrand ("Ekstrand"). The paper coin wrapper 1 disclosed by Ekstrand incorporates elevated sharp edge 4 that reads on the Applicant's spade point (claim 1), protrusion (claim 10) and angled portion (claim 20).

Regarding claim 5, the ends of said wrapper are crimped over the ends of the coins (column 2, lines 21-27).

Regarding claims 7, 8 and 14-16, the depth of edge 4 is clearly greater that two thicknesses and less than six thicknesses of the coins stored in the wrapper (see Figure 3).

Regarding claims 9 and 17-19, various coin denominations are well known in the art and the use of flexible coin wrappers that are sized to accommodate pennies, nickels, etc. is well known in the art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ekstrand. Although Ekstrand fails to disclose an embodiment of the coin wrapper as

being plastic the use of flexible plastic film is an obvious substitution for paper. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a plastic film in the wrapper of Ekstrand to provide an effective wrapper material.

Page 4

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Patent Number 294,858 by Dick because of its swell e,

Patent Number 1,093,605 by Burdick because of its paper p, and

Patent Number 1,848,168 by Gordon because of its diaphragm 4.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark J. Beauchaine whose telephone number is (571)272-6934. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM through 5:00PM Mondays through Thursdays.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,614

Art Unit: 3653

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on (571)272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

mjb

KATHY MATECKI

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600